



Full Length Article

Quality of Care

Worldwide Network for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (WBMT) Recommendations Regarding Essential Medications Required To Establish An Early Stage Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation Program



Riad El Fakih^{1,*}, Hildegard Greinix², Mickey Koh^{3,4}, Bronwen Shaw⁵, Mohamad Mohty⁶, Mohammad Al Nahedh⁷, Wael Saber⁵, Mohamed A. Kharfan-Dabaja⁸, Miguel-Angel Perales^{9,10}, Bipin N. Savani¹¹, Navneet S. Majhail¹², Jakob R. Passweg¹³, Anna Sureda¹⁴, Syed Osman Ahmed¹, Eliane Gluckman¹⁵, Marcie Riches¹⁶, Areej El-Jawahri¹⁷, Damiano Rondelli¹⁸, Alok Srivastava¹⁹, Lawrence Faulkner²⁰, Yoshiko Atsuta²¹, Karen K. Ballen²², Walid Rasheed¹, Shinichiro Okamoto²³, Adriana Seber²⁴, Nelson Chao²⁵, Nicolaus Kröger²⁶, Yoshihisa Kodera²⁰, Jeff Szer²⁷, Shahrukh K. Hashmi^{1,28}, Mary M. Horowitz⁵, Daniel Weisdorf²⁹, Dietger Niederwieser³⁰, Mahmoud Aljurf¹

¹ Oncology Center, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

² Medical University Graz, Division of Hematology, Graz, Austria

³ St. George's Hospital and Medical School, London, United Kingdom

⁴ Cell Therapy Facility, Blood Services Group, Health Sciences Authority, Singapore

⁵ Division of Hematology/Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

⁶ Service d'Hématologie Clinique et Thérapie Cellulaire, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

⁷ Pharmaceutical Care Division, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁸ Division of Hematology-Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida

⁹ Adult Bone Marrow Transplantation Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York

¹⁰ Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York

¹¹ Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee

¹² Blood and Marrow Transplant Program, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio

¹³ Hematology Division, Basel University Hospital, Basel, Switzerland

¹⁴ Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁵ Eurocord, University Paris VII, Paris, France

¹⁶ Division of Hematology, Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

¹⁷ Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

¹⁸ University of Illinois Hospital & Health Sciences System, Chicago, Illinois

¹⁹ Department of Haematology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

²⁰ The Cure2Children Foundation, Florence, Italy

²¹ Center for Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, Aichi Medical University Hospital, Nagakute, Japan

²² Division of Hematology/Oncology, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia

²³ Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

²⁴ Universidade Federal de Sao Paulo Escola Paulista de Medicina, São Paulo, Brazil

²⁵ Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

²⁶ Department of Stem Cell Transplantation, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

²⁷ Clinical Haematology at Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and The Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

²⁸ Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

²⁹ Division of Hematology, Oncology and Transplantation, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota

³⁰ Division of Haematology and Medical Oncology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

Article history:

Received 30 September 2020

Accepted 6 December 2020

A B S T R A C T

Establishing a hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) program is complex. Planning is essential while establishing such a program to overcome the expected challenges. Authorities involved in HCT program establishment will

Financial disclosure: See Acknowledgments on page 267.e5.

*Correspondence and reprint requests: Riad El Fakih, MD, Oncology Centre, Department of Adult Hematology and Stem Cell Transplant, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, PO Box 3354, Riyadh 11211, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail addresses: relfakih1@kfshrc.edu.sa, riadfakih@hotmail.com (R. El Fakih).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtct.2020.12.015>

2666-6367/Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy. All rights reserved.

Key Words:

Hematopoietic cell
Transplantation program
Essential medications
Required medications
WBMT

need to coordinate the efforts between the different departments required to start up the program. One essential department is pharmacy and the medications required. To help facilitate this, the Worldwide Network for Blood and Marrow Transplantation organized a structured survey to address the essential medications required to start up an HCT program. A group of senior physicians and pharmacists prepared a list of the medications used at the different phases of transplantation. These drugs were then rated by a questionnaire using a scale of necessity based on the stage of development of the transplant program. The questionnaire was sent to 30 physicians, in different parts of the world, who have between 5 and 40 years of experience in autologous and/or allogeneic transplantation. This group of experts scored each medication on a 7-point scale, ranging from an absolute requirement (score of 1) to not required (score of 7). The results are presented here to help guide the prioritization of required medications.

Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy. All rights reserved.

Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is a complex, multi-disciplinary procedure that requires collaboration between a number of hospital departments [1,2]. The pharmacy department plays an essential role in procuring and delivering the essential medications required for the smooth running of a transplant program. Different institutions will have different medication needs, depending on regional disease prevalence, the complexity of the transplantation program and the types of transplant delivered. The role of pharmacy becomes even more crucial with the global shortage of some of the essential medications. A structured supply chain is a key component of successful avoidance of drug interruptions and ensures safe and up-to-date transplant care. When establishing a transplantation program, identifying and prioritizing the essential medications needed is not an easy task, and will have an impact on drug approval and funding through the responsible health and insurance authorities [2]. The Worldwide Network for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (WBMT), a nongovernmental organization in an official relationship with the World Health Organization, works to promote transplantation globally [3]. An annual activity survey and a series of workshops were developed and organized by the WBMT to promote, analyze, and help transplantation activities worldwide [1,3–9]. Recently two seminal articles were published by the WBMT to address the requirements and provide guidance and recommendations for establishing a transplantation program including guidance for countries with limited resources [10,11]. This report describes the structured survey organized by the WBMT with the aim of delineating the essential medications needed to run an early-stage HCT program.

METHODS

First, we identified an expert group of physicians and pharmacists with no less than 5 years' experience in the field of HCT. This group prepared a list of the medications used in the different phases of transplant (Table 1). This list was built gradually after exchanging communications between the expert group members. A questionnaire was then constructed to rate these drugs on a scale of necessity (Table 2) based on the stage of development of the transplantation program (Table 3). The transplantation program stages were defined as stage I (program able to carry out 5 autologous HCT and 3 to 5 HLA-matched sibling HCT per year), stage II (program able to carry out 10 autologous HCT with the use of cryopreservation and 5 to 10 HLA-matched or -mismatched sibling HCT per year), and stage III (program able to carry out more than 10 autologous HCT with the use of cryopreservation and more than 10 allogeneic HCT per year using all types of alternate donor transplant with or without graft manipulation). This definition was based on the previously published WBMT recommendations to establish new transplantation programs [10,11].

The questionnaire was then sent to 30 physicians who had between 5 and 40 years of experience in autologous or allogeneic transplantation. They represented transplantation programs from distinct global regions (Europe, Africa, North and South America, Middle East, and Asia) and were directly involved in the development of new transplantation centers. Subsequently, the participants scored each medication on a 7-point scale, ranging from an absolute requirement (score of 1) to not required (score of 7). A drug was considered as the minimum or required, preferred, or ideal but not required, if voted as such by an arbitrary cut of $\geq 70\%$ of participants.

RESULTS

The drug list prepared by the expert group of physicians and pharmacists consisted of 65 medications. Each question of the survey was answered by the majority of participants ($\geq 26/30$). Table 4 summarizes the results. Medications voted for by $\geq 70\%$ of participants as "minimum acceptable or required" medications for a stage I program comprised: chemotherapy and filgrastim for

Table 1
Medications for Use in Different Phases of Transplantation

Hematopoietic Stem Cell Mobilizer for Autologous PBSCT	Filgrastim. Chemotherapy + Filgrastim. Plerixafor
Mobilization of healthy donors for allogeneic PBSCT	Filgrastim
Chemotherapy for preparative regimens	Busulfan (oral), busulfan (IV), busulfan (IV) combined with TDM-guided dosing, carboplatin, carmustine (BCNU), cyclophosphamide, cytarabine, etoposide, fludarabine, melphalan, thiopeta
Seizure prophylaxis	Phenytoin, levetiracetam, benzodiazepine
Immunosuppression for GvHD prevention and treatment	Antithymocyte globulin (rabbit-derived), alemtuzumab (Campath), basiliximab, budesonide, cyclosporine, etanercept, extracorporeal photopheresis, infliximab, methotrexate, methylprednisolone, mycophenolate, prednisone, rituximab, sirolimus, tacrolimus
Nausea and vomiting	Dexamethasone, neurokinin antagonists, olanzapine, phenothiazines, 5-HT ₃ antagonists
Antimicrobials	Antibacterial: piperacillin/tazobactam, carbapenem, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, tigecycline, vancomycin Antifungal: amphotericin B (conventional), amphotericin B (liposomal), echinocandin, fluconazole, isavuconazonium, posaconazole, voriconazole Antiviral: acyclovir, cidofovir, foscarnet, ganciclovir, letermovir, valacyclovir, valganciclovir PCP/PJP prophylaxis: bactrim, pentamidine
SOS prevention and management	Defibrotide, ursodiol
Other medication	IVIG, IV narcotics, PCA, TPN

GvHD indicates graft-versus host disease; IV, intravenous; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; PCA, patient-controlled analgesia; PCP/PJP, pneumocystis carinii pneumonia/pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia; SOS, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome; TPN, total parenteral nutrition.

Table 2
Scale of Necessity for Medications

Score	Description	Category	Level	Comments
1	Absolutely required	Minimum or required for this stage of program development	I	A program cannot be implemented without this element
2	Required			A program needs to have this in place or at least planned in the first year of implementation
3	Important	Preferred for this stage of program development	II	Important for further expansion of the program
4	Good			Not necessary but recommended
5	Important but not needed at early implementation	Ideal but not required for this stage of program development	III	Ideal element but not critical for the day-to-day operations
6	Might be beneficial in certain situations			Item that could be specific to a patient population or type of transplantation
7	Not recommended			Should not be considered a necessary element

Table 3
stages of development of HCT program

	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Types of transplantation performed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Autologous ■ HLA-matched sib donors 	Stage I + <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ All MSD transplants including MMSD ■ Autologous with cryopreserved products 	Stage II + <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Haploidentical ■ and/or MUD, MMUD ■ and/or UCB ■ and/or T-cell depleted
Number of HCT	5 Auto-HCT/year 3-5 Allo-HCT/year	10 Auto-HCT/year 5-10 Allo-HCT/year	>10 Auto-HCT/year >10 Allo-HCT/year

MMSD indicates mismatched sibling donor; MSD, matched sibling donor; MMUD, mismatched unrelated donor; MUD, matched unrelated donor; UCB, umbilical cord blood; auto-HCT; autologous hematopoietic cell transplant; allo-HCT, allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant.

Table 4
Medication Score as Voted for by $\geq 70\%$ of Expert Group

	Required (Score 1 and 2) With Votes Above 70%	Preferred (Score 3 and 4) With Votes Above 70%	Ideal (Score > 4) With Votes Above 70%
Stage I program	Mobilization: -Chemotherapy and filgrastim for autologous PBSCT mobilization -Filgrastim for autologous PBSCT mobilization -Filgrastim for allogeneic PBSCT mobilization Conditioning: -Cyclophosphamide -Cytarabine -Melphalan -Fludarabine Seizures prophylaxis: -Benzodiazepine GvHD prophylaxis: -Cyclosporine -Methotrexate -Methylprednisolone -Prednisone -Dexamethasone Antimicrobials: -Piperacillin/Tazobactam -Cefepime -Ciprofloxacin -Vancomycin -Fluconazole -Acyclovir -Ganciclovir -Bactrim Supportive: -IV narcotics -5-HT3 antagonists	Mobilization: none Conditioning: -Oral busulfan -Intravenous busulfan -Carboplatin -Carmustine -Etoposide Seizures prophylaxis: -Phenytoin -Levetiracetam GvHD prophylaxis: -Tacrolimus -Mycophenolate -Rituximab Antimicrobials: -Carbapenem -Levofloxacin -Tigecycline -Amphotericin B (liposomal) -Echinocandin -Voriconazole -Posaconazole -Valganciclovir -Foscarnet -Valacyclovir -Pentamidine Supportive: -Phenothiazines -Neurokinin antagonists -Ursodiol -IVIG -TPN -PCA	Mobilization: -Plerixafor for autologous PBSCT mobilization Conditioning: -Intravenous busulfan with TDM Seizures prophylaxis: none GvHD prophylaxis: -Antithymocyte globulin rabbit -Budesonide -Sirolimus -Etanercept -Alemtuzumab -Basiliximab -Extracorporeal photopheresis -Infliximab Antimicrobials: -Amphotericin B (conventional) -Isavuconazonium -Cidofovir -Letermovir Supportive: -Defibrotide -Olanzapine

TDM indicates therapeutic drug monitoring.

autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation (PBSCT) mobilization, filgrastim for autologous PBSCT mobilization, filgrastim for allogeneic PBSCT mobilization, cyclophosphamide, cytarabine, melphalan, fludarabine, benzodiazepine, cyclosporine, methotrexate, methylprednisolone, prednisone, dexamethasone,

5-hydroxytryptamine receptor antagonists, piperacillin/tazobactam, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, fluconazole, acyclovir, ganciclovir, Bactrim, and intravenous narcotics.

Medications voted for by $\geq 70\%$ of participants as “preferred to have available but not required” medications for a

Table 5
Suggested Transplant Procedure (Stage I Program) for Prevalent Diseases Driven By This Survey's Results

Disease/HCT	Conditioning	GvHD Prophylaxis	GvHD Treatment	Antimicrobials	Antiemetics
SAA	Flu/Cy	MTX/Csa	Prednisone	-Piperacillin/tazobactam -Cefepime -Ciprofloxacin -Vancomycin -Fluconazole -Acyclovir -Ganciclovir -Bactrim	-5-HT3 antagonists -Steroids
AML	Flu/Mel Flu/Cy Preferably: Bu/Cy	MTX/Csa	Prednisone	-Piperacillin/tazobactam -Cefepime -Ciprofloxacin -Vancomycin -Fluconazole -Acyclovir -Ganciclovir -Bactrim	-5-HT3 antagonists -Steroids
ALL	Cy/TBI	MTX/Csa	Prednisone	-Piperacillin/tazobactam -Cefepime -Ciprofloxacin -Vancomycin -Fluconazole -Acyclovir -Ganciclovir -Bactrim	-5-HT3 antagonists -Steroids
Lymphoma auto-HCT	Melphalan Preferably: BEAM or CBV	Na	Na	-Piperacillin/tazobactam -Cefepime -Ciprofloxacin -Vancomycin -Fluconazole -Acyclovir -Ganciclovir -Bactrim	-5-HT3 antagonists -Steroids
Myeloma auto-HCT	Melphalan	Na	Na	-Piperacillin/tazobactam -Cefepime -Ciprofloxacin -Vancomycin -Fluconazole -Acyclovir -Ganciclovir -Bactrim	-5-HT3 antagonists -Steroids

ALL indicates acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML, acute myeloblastic leukemia; BEAM: BCNU, etoposide, Ara-C, and melphalan; Bu/Cy, busulfan and cyclophosphamide; CBV, cyclophosphamide, BCNU, and etoposide; Cy/TBI, cyclophosphamide/total body irradiation; Flu/Cy, fludarabine/cyclophosphamide; Flu/Mel, fludarabine/cyclophosphamide; MTX/Csa, methotrexate/cyclosporine A; NA, not applicable; SAA, severe aplastic anemia.

stage I program comprised: oral or intravenous busulfan, carboplatin, carmustine, etoposide, phenytoin, Levetiracetam, tacrolimus, mycophenolate, rituximab, phenothiazines, neurokinin antagonists, carbapenem, levofloxacin, tigecycline, amphotericin B (liposomal), echinocandin, voriconazole, posaconazole, valganciclovir, foscarnet, valacyclovir, pentamidine, ursodiol, intravenous immunoglobulin, total parenteral nutrition, and patient-controlled anesthesia.

Medications voted for by $\geq 70\%$ of participants as "ideal, but not preferred nor required" in a stage I program comprised the following: plerixafor for autologous PBSCT mobilization, intravenous busulfan with therapeutic drug monitoring, rabbit antithymocyte globulin, budesonide, sirolimus, etanercept, alemtuzumab, basiliximab, extracorporeal photopheresis, infliximab, olanzapine, amphotericin B (conventional), isavuconazonium, cidofovir, letermovir, and defibrotide.

DISCUSSION

Transplant programs are developed using an organized stepwise approach, usually starting with autologous and a small number of allogeneic transplants. Establishing a transplant unit is a challenging task especially in countries with limited resources. As the procedure is complex, so too is the infrastructure involved and consists of multiple disciplines.

One essential discipline is pharmacy. Ensuring a constant supply of essential medications is of utmost importance for transplant success. The WBMT works to promote and facilitate transplant activities worldwide. This current project aimed to identify essential medications needed to start a stage I transplant program defined as a program planned to carry out approximately 5 autologous and 3 matched sibling donor transplants per year. A list of 65 frequently used transplant associated medications was collected by a group of experts, this list was sent to 30 transplant experts. Of the 65 medications, 22 were identified (by $\geq 70\%$ of the survey participants) as required to run a stage I program, while 27 were labeled as 'preferred to have available but not required' and 16 medications were labeled as ideal to have in a stage I program. Obviously, this list does not encompass all the medications or pharmaceuticals used in transplantation (antibiotics, proton pump inhibitors, anti-tuberculosis medications, anti-hypertensive, new drugs approved for GvHD, etc.). However, we think the paper and the discussion are comprehensive enough to safely plan and implement a stage I program. Of note, the list prepared by the group of experts missed newly approved medications in transplant (like ibrutinib and ruxolitinib), probably as a result of long standing practice bias. Transplant medications are expensive and constitute around 8-39% of the total

transplant cost. Antimicrobials, growth factors and immunosuppressive agents are the major contributors [12–14]. A list of the essential pharmaceuticals will help new program leaders to allocate the budget appropriately depending on the country's constraints and economic status. From a public health perspective, this will help planners to negotiate with health authorities, insurance agencies and payers to develop informed policies. Another important issue that underscores the importance of identifying the essential medications early in the planning process is ensuring their availability and reliable supply. In some countries, busulfan, and parenteral cyclosporine among other drugs, are not available and importing these may be difficult due to logistics (licensing, approval, supplier, manufacturer interest, limited usage etc.). We recommend to identify critical drugs early during the planning process and to pay every effort to make sure supply is not interrupted through mutual agreements with health care authorities and manufacturers as well as agreements with well-established/collaborating international programs. We also recommend to have a backup internal policy in place in case critical drugs are interrupted.

The surveyed senior physicians, after reviewing the survey results, felt that amphotericin or voriconazole, carmustine and etoposide (for lymphoma conditioning) were required medications even for an early stage program, however, as a group these medications were voted as preferred but not required.

CONCLUSION

We have provided a list of fundamental drugs necessary to establish an early-stage HCT program. Table 5 provides the authors' opinion on how to conduct transplantation for prevalent diseases in an early-stage program based on the survey's results. This list is based on the opinion of an expert group from the WBMT based on existing practices in centers worldwide. The group is aware that many drugs are used in some countries but not others (treosulfan, amsacrine, pyrimethamine, etc.), new medications and biosimilars are getting approval in different countries, and essential medications may differ by country depending on the prevalence of specific diseases. However, the list is flexible and can be modified (without compromising the patients' safety) on the basis of specific local practices, economic situation, availability and approval of new drugs, availability of therapeutically-equivalent drugs, and disease prevalence in specific countries.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial disclosure: none.

Conflict of interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest to report.

Authorship statement: Riad El Fakih and Mahmoud Aljurf wrote the first draft. The draft was edited by all the co-authors. The authors vouch for the accuracy of the data and have approved the final version for submission for publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi: [10.1016/j.jctc.2020.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctc.2020.12.015).

REFERENCES

1. Gratwohl A, Pasquini MC, Aljurf M, et al. One million haemopoietic stem-cell transplants: a retrospective observational study. *Lancet Haematol*. 2015;2:e91–e100.
2. Faulkner L, Verna M, Rovelli A, et al. Setting up and sustaining blood and marrow transplant services for children in middle-income economies: an experience-driven position paper on behalf of the EBMT PDWP (online ahead of print). *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-020-0983-5>.
3. Niederwieser D, Baldomero H, Szer J, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation activity worldwide in 2012 and a SWOT analysis of the Worldwide Network for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Group including the global survey. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2016;51:778–785.
4. Baldomero H, Aljurf M, Zaidi SZA, et al. Narrowing the gap for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the East-Mediterranean/African region: comparison with global HSCT indications and trends. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2019;54:402–417.
5. Chandy M, Srivastava A, Dennison D, Mathews V, George B. Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in the developing world: experience from a center in India. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2001;27:785–790.
6. Ghavamzadeh A, Alimoghaddam K, Ghaffari F, Derakhshandeh R, Jalali A, Jahani M. Twenty years of experience on stem cell transplantation in Iran. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2013;15:93–100.
7. Gratwohl A, Baldomero H, Aljurf M, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a global perspective. *JAMA*. 2010;303:1617–1624.
8. Gratwohl A, Baldomero H, Gratwohl M, et al. Quantitative and qualitative differences in use and trends of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a global observational study. *Haematologica*. 2013;98:1282–1290.
9. Jaimovich G, Martinez Rolon J, Baldomero H, et al. Latin America: the next region for haematopoietic transplant progress. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2017;52:671–677.
10. Pasquini MC, Srivastava A, Ahmed SO, et al. Worldwide network for blood and marrow transplantation recommendations for establishing a hematopoietic cell transplantation program, Part I: minimum requirements and beyond. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2019;25:2322–2329.
11. Aljurf M, Weisdorf D, Hashmi SK, et al. Worldwide Network for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (WBMT) recommendations for establishing a hematopoietic stem cell transplantation program in countries with limited resources (Part II): Clinical, technical and socio-economic considerations. *Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther*. 2020;13:7–16.
12. Pechlivanoglou P, De Vries R, Daenen SMG, Postma MJ. Cost benefit and cost effectiveness of antifungal prophylaxis in immunocompromised patients treated for haematological malignancies. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2011;29:737–751.
13. Stewart BL, Storer B, Storek J, et al. Duration of immunosuppressive treatment for chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. 2004;104:3501–3506.
14. Yalniz FF, Murad MH, Lee SJ, et al. Steroid refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease: cost-effectiveness analysis. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2018;24:1920–1927.